- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q And that's a standard that you
- 3 swore by, right?
- 4 A Right, but --
- 5 Q And that's the standard that you
- 6 applied, correct?
- 7 A This -- again, this is part of the
- 8 analysis. This is coming back to the question
- 9 of okay, is there an efficiency justification
- 10 or a lack thereof that overwhelms all the
- 11 other evidence.
- 12 Q Let me try it again. Is that the
- 13 standard that you applied? Cannot be
- 14 plausibly reconciled, the one that you wrote
- 15 about?
- 16 A As I described, the standard that
- 17 I looked to was whether Comcast within its own
- 18 four corners as a cable company made a
- 19 decision that was entirely consistent with the
- 20 decisions of other MVPDs and its own decision
- 21 when it had access to the Orioles'
- 22 programming.

- 1 O Do you believe that to establish
- 2 an economic basis for discrimination claims
- 3 against Comcast, MASN must demonstrate
- 4 Comcast's decision not to carry the network on
- 5 the system at issue, cannot be plausibly
- 6 reconciled with the demand or lack thereof by
- 7 MASN?
- 8 A I include MASN's license fees and
- 9 alternative uses of capacity. The point is
- 10 and this is exactly what I just have
- 11 articulated. If the cost of carriage is
- 12 higher than the benefits that Comcast
- 13 perceives as a cable company, then it's an
- 14 entirely rational decision for Comcast to make
- 15 that decision.
- 16 And so one would have to show --
- 17 that MASN would have to show that the cost of
- 18 carriage were actually below the viewer
- 19 interest, the benefits that Comcast as a cable
- 20 company receives, in order to make a claim of
- 21 discrimination, so the precise standard that
- 22 I just laid out two minutes ago.

- 1 Q Do you believe that to establish
- 2 an economic basis for its discrimination
- 3 claims against Comcast, MASN must demonstrate
- 4 Comcast's decision not to carry the network on
- 5 the systems at issue cannot be plausibly
- 6 reconciled with the demand or lack thereof for
- 7 MASN by the system subscribers, MASN's license
- 8 fees, and alternative uses of the available
- 9 system capacity?
- 10 A I believe the statement is
- 11 absolutely correct.
- 12 Q You don't like marginalization,
- 13 correct?
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: The what?
- 15 MR. KIM: Marginalization, Your
- 16 Honor.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't like is a
- 18 term I've used. It's a fact of life when you
- 19 sell from one party to another and when you
- 20 are vertically integrated, there's an
- 21 elimination of double marginalization.
- BY MR. KIM:

- 1 Q And just for the record, am I
- 2 right to think that double marginalization for
- 3 laymen like me means double markup?
- 4 A That's a layman's term version of
- 5 it, yes.
- 6 Q So it's better to mark things up
- 7 once from a consumer's point of view than to
- 8 have it marked up twice, true?
- 9 A Precisely.
- 10 Q And you think that is an economic
- 11 good, true?
- 12 A It is a benefit of -- economic
- 13 good, you said?
- 14 Q Yes.
- 15 A Like benefit, economic benefit, is
- 16 that what you mean by good?
- 17 Q We can go there.
- 18 A Okay, sure. I just want to make
- 19 sure we're on the same page. Yes, with the
- 20 elimination of double marginalization is a
- 21 better to consumers.
- 22 Q And in your judgment, Comcast

- 1 should be applying a double standard that
- 2 takes into account the net costs of the
- 3 programming that it owns, correct?
- 4 A It's not a double standard. It's
- 5 the same standard, but it should factor into
- 6 it pays less for its own programming than it
- 7 pays for somebody else's programming,
- 8 absolutely yes.
- 9 Q And that's something that you
- 10 approve of, true?
- 11 A Absolutely.
- 12 MR. KIM: May I approach, Your
- 13 Honor?
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
- 15 BY MR. KIM:
- 16 Q Mr. Orszag, I'm showing you what's
- 17 been marked as MASN Exhibit 92 which is in
- 18 evidence, Your Honor.
- 19 Mr. Orszag, do you recognize that
- 20 document?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: It's marked highly
- 22 confidential?

	REDACTED FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION	
		Page 7143
1	MR. KIM: Yes, it is, Your Honor.	
2	BY MR. KIM:	
3	Q Do you recognize that document,	
4	Mr. Orszag?	
5	A I saw it in your documents. I	
6	don't think I had seen this previously.	
7	Q I will represent to you, sir, that	
8	this is a document that was produced to MASN	
9	by Comcast. Is that fair?	
10	A That is fair.	
11	Q And I'm just going to ask you to	
12	look at the first page and the subject line of	
13	that page purports to read "CSN Philadelphia."	
14	A In the box I can see CSN	
15	Philadelphia.	
16	Q And then you actually see a little	
17	bit below that the average rate card. Do you	
18	see that?	
19	A Yes, I see that line.	
20	Q And that number next to that is	

22 A I observe that, yes.

, correct?

			Page	7144
1	Q A	and then there is a box that		
2	starts readin	ng "MASN" in quotation marks rate		
3	card, correct	:?		
4	A Y	es.		
5	Q I	And it actually discounts		
6	there's a lir	ne that reads strike that. Let		
7	me try sta	art over again.		
8	ŋ	There's a line that reads		
9		, correct?		
10	A Y	res.		
11	Q V	What is OCF?		
12	A	In this context, I'm not sure what		
13	they're calcu	ılated		
14	Q	Does that refer to operating cash		
15	flow?			
16	Α	I believe so, but again I'm not		
17	precisely sur	re how they're calculating it and		
18	that was my p	point.		
19	Q ,	And then you actually see on the		
20	bottom line	under net rate card, Comcast net		
21	cost per sub	. Do you see that?		
22	A :	Yes, I do.		

REDACTED FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION						
		Page 7145				
1	Q And that's a					
2	correct?					
3	A I observe the yes.					
4	Q And so the net cost to Comcast of					
5	CSN Philadelphia, given its ownership					
6	interest,					
7	correct?					
8	MR. BURKE: Objection, foundation.					
9	You can answer.					
10	THE WITNESS: I should answer.					
11	MR. BURKE: I just don't know that					
12	there's been established a basis for Mr.					
13	Orszag can testifying about this document or					
14	what it means.					
15	MR. KIM: He's an expert. I can					
16	lay tons of foundation that he's an expert.					
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: It is a Comcast					
18	document?					
19	MR. KIM: Yes.					
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: And he hasn't said					

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. (202) 234-4433

that he doesn't -- that he's out of his

territory here or anything.

21

- 1 MR. KIM: If this was a fact
- witness, Your Honor, I'd understand the
- 3 objection more, but this is an expert. And he
- 4 relies on documents like this all the time in
- 5 forming his opinion.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all right.
- 7 No, you don't have to go any further than
- 8 that. Right now for the time being anyway,
- 9 I'm going to -- I'm not going to -- I'm going
- 10 to overrule the objection, but go ahead. I
- 11 think it's a sufficient foundation in other
- 12 words, go ahead.
- 13 BY MR. KIM:
- 14 Q Let me ask the question again, Mr.
- 15 Orszag. Isn't it true that this document
- 16 reflects that the net cost per sub to Comcast
- 17 for CSN
- 18 Philadelphia?
- 19 A Well, you're reading two numbers
- 20 and so that according to this, I don't know --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Can you answer that
- 22 question?

REDACTED FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION Page 7147 THE WITNESS: The answer is 1 2 according to this, yes. JUDGE SIPPEL: According to this 3 4 document, yes. 5 THE WITNESS: And according to economic theory it would be as well. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, so then 7 8 what's left? 9 THE WITNESS: Whether they calculated it correctly. 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean whether 11 MASN is right? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do not know 13 what undergirds this analysis, I do not know 14 15 the underlying --16 JUDGE SIPPEL: You don't know the 17 numbers? 18 THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, let's assume 19 the numbers are right and everybody does the 20 21 mathematics correctly.

THE WITNESS:

1	
2	
3	BY MR. KIM:
4	Q Because of Comcast's ownership
5	interest in CSN Philadelphia, correct?
6	A Precisely.
7	Q And it is your opinion, is it not,
8	sir, that this exactly the type of calculation
9	Comcast should be making in deciding which
10	program to carry?
11	A That is correct, but I don't know
12	what other parts they have of this analysis
13	here. I can't see all their assumptions.
14	Q Isn't it true that the cost of
15	programming is always going to be cheaper if
16	Comcast owns it?
17	A Yes.
18	Q For the very reason specified in
19	MASN Exhibit 92, correct?
20	A Absolutely.
21	Q And that gives Comcast a huge
22	incentive to seek its own programming, true?

- 1 A It depends on the cost of
- 2 developing the programming, but all other
- 3 things being equal, yes.
- 4 Q I appreciate the clarification.
- 5 So all other things being equal, Comcast
- 6 should always favor their affiliated
- 7 programming, correct?
- 8 A They should always take into
- 9 account their programming costs and the cost
- 10 of carriage is lower, yes.
- 11 Q So all other things being equal,
- 12 Comcast should always favor their affiliate
- 13 programming?
- 14 A That's precisely why I don't
- 15 believe this is the right standard to look at
- 16 like this.
- 17 Q Is the answer to my question, yes?
- 18 A I said yes.
- 19 Q Thank you. So if MASN gets
- 20 programming that Comcast wanted, it's your
- 21 opinion that Comcast should treat MASN
- 22 differently, correct?

- 1 A Should treat MASN as if it's a
- 2 cable-only business in making a decision of
- 3 how to carry it, yes.
- 4 Q Now Mr. Orszag, you give Comcast
- 5 credit for carrying MASN in certain markets,
- 6 true?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q Do you think it's significant that
- 9 in three markets Comcast recently dropped it's
- 10 only affiliated network CSNMA, correct?
- 11 A I think that is relevant, yes.
- 12 Q And in those markets, Comcast
- 13 carries CSN Philly, correct?
- 14 A Yes, I believe that to be the
- 15 case, yes.
- 16 Q And one of these markets is
- 17 Lancaster, Pennsylvania?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q A second market is Dover,
- 20 Delaware?
- 21 A I believe that to be true. That's
- 22 in my direct testimony.

		Page 7151
1	Q And the third market is New	
2	Castle, Delaware, correct?	
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where are you	
4	looking at?	
5	THE WITNESS: That sounds about	
6	right.	
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where are you	
8	picking this up from?	
9	MR. KIM: Page 12, Your Honor.	
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Testimony?	
11	MR. KIM: Paragraph 27 of his	
12	testimony.	·
13	THE WITNESS: About halfway	
14	through paragraph 27.	
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.	
16	Paragraph 27, right. Okay. I've got you.	
17	BY MR. KIM:	
18	Q So just to recap for Your Honor	
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Please.	
20	BY MR. KIM:	
21	Q The three markets that you refer	
22	to in your report are Lancaster, Pennsylvania;	

- 1 Dover, Delaware; and New Castle, Delaware,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A That is correct.
- 4 Q And it's your opinion that because
- 5 Comcast carries MASN in those markets and
- 6 dropped CSNMA, that would not be consistent
- 7 with the history of discriminatory behavior
- 8 against MASN, true?
- 9 A That is not consistent with the
- 10 claims that MASN has put forward.
- 11 Q And so you think it's significant
- 12 that they're not discriminating against MASN
- 13 all the time, right?
- 14 A I think it's significant that they
- 15 have taken the steps that they took here, yes.
- 16 Q Is it your opinion that a boss
- 17 does not discriminate against women if it
- 18 treats some women in his office nicely?
- 19 A Not necessarily.
- 20 Q Okay, what about if he fires his
- 21 daughter because she only came into work once
- 22 a week. Does that prove that he's

- 1 discriminated against other women who come to
- 2 his office every day?
- A No, but it's one fact you'd want
- 4 to consider.
- 5 Q But you didn't bother to figure
- 6 out why Comcast drops CSNMA in these markets,
- 7 did you?
- 8 A Well, they dropped it because the
- 9 viewer interest was not worth the price any
- 10 more.
- 11 Q Remember I asked you that question
- 12 at deposition?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q And what was your answer back
- 15 then?
- 16 A I gave a two-fold answer. My
- 17 recollection of it precisely was Comcast lost
- 18 access to certain programming in these markets
- 19 and as a result it dropped the programming and
- 20 it was my understanding that they had lost
- 21 access to certain programming and that as a
- 22 result the price didn't justify the benefits.

- 1 Q Wasn't your answer to that
- 2 question it's not an analysis you conducted?
- 3 A I think there's another section
- 4 where I'm not sure the precise question you
- 5 asked, but I know we had that discussion
- 6 because we talked about them losing access to
- 7 certain programming, if I recall, you may have
- 8 asked it in terms of quantitative analysis or
- 9 at least that's what I understood.
- 10 0 Isn't it true that there are
- 11 significant blackouts for professional product
- in all three markets where Comcast drops
- 13 CSNMA?
- 14 A Precisely.
- 15 Q Isn't it true that in New Castle,
- 16 Delaware, both the Capitals and the Wizards
- 17 were blacked out?
- 18 A Right, and that's precisely why
- 19 the costs did not justify the benefits.
- 20 Q And isn't it true that after they
- 21 lost the Orioles, they couldn't show any
- 22 professional product in New Castle, Delaware?

- 1 A I believe that's the case, yes, in
- 2 New Castle.
- 3 Q And even after they couldn't show
- 4 any professional product in New Castle,
- 5 Delaware, they kept the channel there for
- 6 months and months, didn't they?
- 7 A I'm not sure if it was months and
- 8 months. I'm not sure when the per se drop
- 9 list sitting here today.
- 10 Q Actually, you are sure. Because
- 11 it's in your attachment.
- 12 A No, as I said, sitting here, we
- 13 can look it up if you'd like.
- 14 Q Let's go to MASN Exhibit 70.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: MASN 70. Is that
- 16 the same thing as an attachment to his --
- 17 MR. KIM: It's an attachment to
- 18 his report, Your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, to the report.
- 20 THE WITNESS: It's the one right
- 21 in front of you right there.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Right.

- 1 BY MR. KIM:
- 2 Q Isn't it true on page 2 of MASN
- 3 70, MASN New Castle, Delaware under CSNMA it
- 4 says dropped 4/1/2007?
- 5 A Yes, it is.
- 6 Q And they lost programming rights
- 7 to the Orioles after the 2006 season, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And so that ended in September
- 10 2006?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So they carried a channel with no
- 13 professional product for months after they
- 14 lost the Orioles, true?
- 15 A It had college product which may
- 16 have justified the price. That's not a
- 17 quantitative analysis I undertook.
- 18 O And so the customers there weren't
- 19 getting a rebate for the loss of all their
- 20 valuable professional product programming,
- 21 were they?
- 22 A Not for the eight months, no, but

- 1 then again, decisions with regard to character
- 2 not made on a daily or monthly basis.
- 3 Q Is it your testimony that if MASN
- 4 lost all of its professional programming,
- 5 Comcast would continue to pay MASN for six
- 6 months?
- 7 A There's a provision in the
- 8 agreement, if my recollection is correct that
- 9 actually govern precisely that circumstance.
- 10 Q And isn't that provision that the
- 11 agreement stated that if MASN loses
- 12 professional product, Comcast can terminate
- 13 immediately?
- 14 A I believe that's the case, yes.
- 15 Q Now you looked at other cable
- 16 operators in the contested regions to make
- 17 some conclusions that you offer an opinion on,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q And you know, sir, that cable
- 21 operators are Comcast neighbors, right?
- 22 A That is not 100 percent

- 1 necessarily because they could have overbuilt,
- 2 but as a general proposition, yes.
- 3 Q So there's a small strand of them
- 4 that are overbuilders, true?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q And with those overbuilders,
- 7 Comcast is competing tooth and nail for every
- 8 sub, right?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q But for most other cable
- 11 operators, they're not competitors, correct?
- 12 A For subscribers, no.
- 13 Q They're neighbors?
- 14 A They're neighbors, yes.
- 15 Q And you're generally friendly with
- 16 your neighbors, right?
- 17 A You're competing against very
- 18 similar interests. You're competing against,
- 19 you're both competing against DIRECTV and
- 20 maybe Verizon as well.
- 21 Q So you have similar incentives,
- 22 correct?

- 1 A You have similar incentives, yes.
- 2 Similar competitive pressures. You may have
- 3 different incentives because you have
- 4 different cost structure, etcetera. So I'd
- 5 rather say similar competitive pressures, I'm
- 6 sorry.
- 7 Q Did you hear Mr. Ortman testify?
- 8 A I did not hear him testify, no.
- 9 Q Did you understand his testimony
- 10 to be that a very small system in Comcast's
- 11 universe would be something with 2000 or fewer
- 12 subscribers?
- 13 A It wouldn't surprise me that he
- 14 would say that, no.
- 15 Q Let's look at your testimony then
- 16 with regard to other comparator MVPDs, and I
- 17 believe that's tables 1 to 3 of your written
- 18 direct. And let me see if I can get you the
- 19 page numbers. I believe it's pages 17 and 18.
- 20 A It is.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Seventeen and 18.
- 22 BY MR. KIM:

- 1 Q Mr. Orszag, how many MVPDs do you
- 2 include in these tables that have one thousand
- 3 or fewer subs?
- 4 A I included every MVPD in the
- 5 market because we're talking about small
- 6 markets and in this case in Region 4, it's
- 7 one, two, three, four, five, six.
- 8 Q What about the other regions?
- 9 A In Tri-Cities, less than a
- 10 thousand, looks like five, one of whom carries
- 11 it, I'm sorry, in Roanoke, five, one of which
- 12 carries it. And in Tri-Cities, it is one,
- 13 two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight,
- 14 nine, ten, eleven.
- 15 Q So in your tables, 21 MVPDs have
- 16 fewer than one thousand subs? Is that right
- 17 or was it 22?
- 18 A I don't remember precisely the
- 19 numbers. I can go back and --
- 20 Q That's all right.
- 21 A Twenty-one or 22, I don't think is
- 22 going to change the point.

- 1 Q Okay, and in fact, you include an
- 2 MVPD called Concord that has expanded base
- 3 subscribers?
- 4 A That's correct. I included every
- 5 single one.
- 6 Q And you also include LNL
- 7 Communications that has expanded base
- 8 subscribers, correct?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q It's your testimony, is it not,
- 11 sir, that the mom and pop operators reflect
- 12 the fact that the price of MASN is too high
- 13 and the interest of MASN is too low, correct?
- 14 A Well, remember, I focused my
- 15 analysis in as I said in my oral that I would
- 16 like to focus on the top ten because you can't
- 17 -- if you throw this on too few, you're going
- 18 to have a bias estimate, but again I should
- 19 note that Dr. Singer included nTelos, which
- 20 had subscribers in his analysis. So
- 21 when one has so few observations one has to
- 22 dig a little bit deeper into the list than

- 1 previously.
- 2 My quotes that I cite were all to
- 3 ones that I believe had more than a thousand
- 4 subscribers. In my direct testimony I cite to
- 5 JetBroadband, Suddenlink which is one of the
- 6 biggest cable companies in the country.
- 7 Citizens Cablevision and I believe Chatmoss,
- 8 all of those have more than
- 9 subscribers. Actually, all of those have more
- 10 than subscribers.
- 11 Q So you're saying we should
- 12 disregard all the small MVPDs?
- 13 A No, I don't want to disregard
- 14 them, just as you don't want to disregard the
- 15 satellite providers. You want to incorporate
- 16 all of it into your analysis and include it,
- 17 but I think one wants to put more weight on
- 18 the bigger ones. You want to sort in --
- 19 that's what I've done.
- 20 Q Okay. So the bigger ones, just so
- 21 I'm clear, include Suddenlink, is that right?
- 22 A That is correct.